

WARREN METLITZKY (CA Bar No. 220758)
CONRAD | METLITZKY | KANE LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 343-7100
Fax: (415) 343-7101
Email: wmetlitzky@conmetkane.com

DAVID RANDALL J. RISKIN (*pro hac vice*)
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
680 Maine Ave., S.W.
Washington, DC 20024
Tel: (202) 434-5000
Fax: (202) 434-5029
Email: driskin@wc.com

Attorneys for Lyft, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER
SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER CONTINUING NON-PARTY
LYFT, INC.'S DEADLINE TO OBJECT
TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S ORDER
REGARDING THIRD-PARTY
SUBPOENA DISPUTES (ECF 395)**

1 WHEREAS, Judge Cisneros granted in part and denied in part non-party Lyft, Inc.'s motion
2 to quash a Rule 45 subpoena on July 14, 2024 (ECF 695);

3 WHEREAS, based on Lyft and the parties' prior stipulation (ECF 747), the Court continued
4 Lyft's deadline to object to that order from July 29, 2024 until August 16, 2024 or, in the event Lyft
5 filed a motion seeking reconsideration, until fourteen days after Judge Cisneros' ruling on Lyft's
6 motion (ECF 751);

7 WHEREAS, on July 29, 2024, Judge Cisneros issued an order inviting non-parties who have
8 been ordered to produce discovery in response to a subpoena arising from this proceeding, such as
9 Lyft, to file a brief report, among other things, "rais[ing] any issues that the nonparty would like to
10 address with the Court," and permitting non-parties to appear at an August 8, 2024 status conference
11 (ECF 755);

12 WHEREAS, on August 5, 2024 Lyft filed a report jointly with the parties raising an issue
13 regarding the scope of documents potentially subject to Judge Cisneros' subpoena order (ECF 774);

14 WHEREAS, Judge Cisneros stated she will issue an order regarding Lyft discovery (ECF
15 867);

16 WHEREAS, Lyft and Plaintiffs have been conferring on the subpoena, which may yield
17 further issues to present to Judge Cisneros for decision; and

18 WHEREAS, under the Court's prior order (ECF 751), the parties understand that Lyft's
19 deadline to object to Judge Cisneros' subpoena order now is fourteen days after Judge Cisneros' last
20 ruling on Lyft discovery;

21 NOW, THEREFORE, under Civil Local Rule 7-12, the parties hereby stipulate and confirm
22 that the deadline for Lyft to object to the Court's July 14, 2024 order under Federal Rule of Civil
23 Procedure 72(a) and Civil Local Rule 72-2 is extended until fourteen days after Judge Cisneros's last
24 order regarding Lyft discovery.

25 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**
26
27
28

1 Dated: August 15, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

2
3 By: /s/ Warren Metlitzky

4 Warren Metlitzky
CONRAD | METLITZKY | KANE LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 343-7100
Fax: (415) 343-7101
Email: wmetlitzky@conmetkane.com

8 David Randall J. Riskin
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
680 Maine Ave., S.W.
Washington, DC 20024
Tel: (202) 434-5000
Fax: (202) 434-5029
Email: driskin@wc.com

12 *Attorneys for Lyft, Inc.*

13 By: /s/ Samantha V. Hoefs

14 MARLENE J. GOLDENBERG
SAMANTHA V. HOEFS
NIGH GOLDENBERG RASO & VAUGHN PLLC
14 Ridge Square NW, Third Floor
Washington, D.C. 20016
Tel: (202) 978-2228
Fax: (202) 792-7927
Email: mgoldenberg@nighgoldenberg.com
Email: shoefs@nighgoldenberg.com

19 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

21 By: /s/ Michael B. Shortnacy

22 MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY
SHOOK HARDY & BACON
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (424) 285-8330
Email: mshortnacy@shb.com

25 *Attorneys for Defendants*

ATTESTATION

Under Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I hereby attest that each of the other signatories have concurred in the filing of this document.

DATED: August 15, 2024

/s/ Warren Metlitzky

Warren Metlitzky

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER
SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING
NON-PARTY LYFT, INC.'S DEADLINE
TO OBJECT TO MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S ORDER REGARDING
THIRD-PARTY SUBPOENA DISPUTES
(ECF 395)**

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

The Court hereby GRANTS non-party Lyft, Inc.'s and the parties' stipulation as follows:
The Court confirms that the deadline for Lyft to object to the Court's July 14, 2024 order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a) and Civil Local Rule 72-2 is fourteen days after Judge Cisneros's last order regarding Lyft discovery.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: _____

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE